

**CITY OF VERO BEACH, FLORIDA
SPECIAL CALL CITY COUNCIL/WORKSHOP MINUTES
THURSDAY, MARCH 2, 2023 9:00 A.M.
VERO HERITAGE CENTER, 2140 14TH AVENUE, VERO BEACH, FLORIDA**

PRESENT: John Cotugno, Mayor; Linda Moore, Vice Mayor; Tracey Zudans, Councilmember, John Carroll, Councilmember; and Rey Neville, Councilmember **Also Present:** Monte Falls, City Manager; John Turner, City Attorney and Tammy Bursick, City Clerk

1. CALL TO ORDER

A) Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag

Mayor Cotugno led the Council and the audience through the Pledge of Allegiance.

B) Roll Call

The City Clerk performed the roll call.

1. ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION

A) Stormwater Utility

Mr. Matt Mitts, Public Works Director, gave a Power Point presentation on Stormwater Utility (attached to the original minutes).

Ms. Cindy Lawson, Finance Director, went over the funding sources outlined in the Power Point presentation.

Mr. Mitts continued by going over the future of the stormwater shown in the Power Point presentation (page 10). Council needs to make a decision on what they want to do for FY 23/24. Some options that they have is to suspend/terminate the utility, keep the fee at \$7.00 per year, or set the fee at \$75.17 a year. He briefly went through all of these options.

Mr. Mitts covered the mitigation credits. He said the current policy is a 60% reduction or mitigation credit for a property owner retaining runoff from a 100-year flood event. Any change to the mitigation credit policy will likely require a revaluation of the ERU assessment triggering another study. If Council desires to modify the mitigation policy, it may be difficult to implement for FY 23/24. He said that 55% of utilities do not offer a mitigation credit.

Ms. Lawson commented that you give people credit for current development standards so everyone gets a credit and no one pays the utility. She said that mitigation credit is an incentive to do better.

Mr. Carroll commented that they also have the base fee where everyone can pay a base fee. The consultant mentioned by giving credit to parcels it would be more equitable. However, there could be someone spending money on their property to meet the obligation to develop then the person living across the street doesn't do anything to their property and is paying the same thing.

Vice Mayor Moore agreed the way the utility is structured now is that there is no incentive to do anything to their property because they are going to have to pay either way.

Mrs. Zudans agreed that incentives need to be given to get the businesses involved because they already have done the work and they are not getting anything in return by doing more.

Vice Mayor Moore said that someone is not going to do any additional efforts to improve their stormwater unless some incentives are given.

Mrs. Zudans said they need to be looking around the community as a whole. She said when you get everyone involved then you will get a good product. Now they are just looking at changing businesses and many of those businesses don't have a voting voice.

Mr. Monte Falls, City Manager, expressed that every property developed in the City was developed in regards to what the Code was at that time. It has been his experience that no one comes in and wants to do more than the Code says they have to. He gave an example of Miracle Mile where years ago it was developed prior to 1980 and there were no stormwater requirements. The incentive goes beyond what is there now. If their goal is to clean up the stormwater then funds will have to be raised in order to do that.

Mr. Carroll recalled the presentation made in 2016 and what was said at that time. He is trying to figure out if it is still actual or not fixed at 36% of the City's municipal limits.

Vice Mayor Moore went back to a comment made by the City Manager and said anyone not wanting to do more than what the Code required is not accurate.

Mr. Falls explained his experience was that no one ever came to him wanting to meet standards higher than what was expected.

Mayor Cotugno had some concerns with the choices that they were being offered. This Ordinance was passed by a previous City Council last year and when this was brought up they came up with a way to mostly fund it through the ARP funds that were available. They did this because they wanted staff to work with the consultant and develop a plan that could be implemented that they could understand how they would apply for grant monies and how it falls into place with future capital that has been allocated. It is now 2023 and based on buying time by using that money they now have to wait until June to get that and now they are being asked to make a decision with only partial information. They don't know how many projects there are and whether or not they make sense to Council and should this be funded again or not. He is disappointed that they do not have all the information. They are now three (3) years into this and the information is still not there.

Mr. Neville commented that they have a large group of stormwater projects in that budget that are not some of the innovative things that they expected to see from the study. They do not know the scope of the amount of problems that they have and he feels that is one of the important things that will come out of the study. There are a full range of projects that need to be done and they are stormwater related and if they choose not to refund this budget all those projects will go back into the General Fund, which would put them in an awkward spot of trying to get these things done. He thinks that they do need to offer some benefits to those that mitigate and this is about trying to do the best they can by not putting anymore pollutants in the Lagoon.

Ms. Lawson stated that they have had a lot of conversations about the mitigation credits. She said that staff needs to hear some basic parameters and can they all agree that there is not a version of this where individual homeowners would be getting a mitigation credit for landscaping and things like that. She said it is almost impossible for them to administer mitigation credits to homeowners.

Vice Mayor Moore said most of them are more concerned about the cost to businesses.

Mrs. Zudans expressed that she is very concerned for the residents of this community and the costs to residents. She used herself as an example. She has done a lot to help the Lagoon at her own home, but is not going to get any mitigation credits for doing that, but she is investing in her residence and the community.

Mr. Mitts reported that he was looking at the report from the City of Alexandria and their mitigation policy that the Mayor sent to him. He said that it offers a lot of options. He said they started their stormwater utilities in 2017 and had similar reaction to the public when they first started it that the Vero Beach has. It didn't have any mitigation credits that were realistic or obtainable, which is why they went back and relooked at the mitigation credits. Their utility brings in \$20 million a year and the cost to manage a project like this it is at least 1 fulltime person a year. They handle all the paperwork and inspections. So if the City is raising a million dollars a year he said a way to look at it is as a machine that works to do projects and mitigation would take less fuel to operate the machine. Or they could look at the mitigation credit as a proponent for education of their stormwater program. He said whatever he comes back with there will be a cost to do a study to determine how the fee needs to be setup. As long as it is something that Council wants they can certainly do it at their request.

Mrs. Zudans felt that they needed to have more conversations with some of the other environmental groups in their community, as well as businesses. She said these groups do a really good job at searching out community wide projects across the board where the City is not the sole player of these projects. She said that government is not always the greatest at finding these projects. They need to have deeper conversations with these groups who have experience with some of these projects. She said lets do it as a group collaborative effort and they can have a bigger investment community wide on what happens.

Vice Mayor Moore said they still need to provide the normal maintenance like what is in the Five-Year Plan and there still is a need for money to come from somewhere to do things. She does not feel it is right to put the entire burden on businesses. She was reading through the material provided to them by Mayor Cotugno on strategies for Airports to reduce local stormwater utility fees. She said apparently runways, aprons, and taxiways are not counted and she wondered what their situation with that was. She knew they were not counting roads, but were they counting runways, aprons, and taxiways.

Mr. Mitts answered yes they do count that unless there was a policy issue not to count it.

Mr. Falls stated the theory behind exempting the roadways was because everyone uses them.

Mayor Cotugno explained the reason the exemption is given for airports or businesses located on airports is the fact that an airport cannot conduct its business without runways. He said runways to them are like roads and they are required to have them.

Ms. Lawson said it would be like a parking lot for a business.

Mayor Cotugno explained they were not talking about the Airport parking lot, just their runways and taxiways. In their case the Airport is a significant economic engine for the City and the County and it maintains a lot of property. He thinks there needs to be some mitigation credit there. He said it relates to businesses in general. He thought that there would be an on-going discussion on this on how they would be mitigated based on all the issues that came up at previous discussions by previous Councils. They have delayed this because they were waiting for all this information and it still is not here.

Mr. Falls explained Ms. Lawson's comment about parking was not about parking at the Airport. The Airport needs a runway to conduct its business just like a grocery store needs a parking lot to conduct its business.

Mayor Cotugno said they are mitigating the road that gets to the Airport. Mr. Falls said they are mitigating the road that gets to the grocery store.

Mr. Rob Bolton, Water and Sewer Director, pointed out with any utility there is no free service. He said once they go down that slippery slope of mitigating for one person they could be opening a can of worms. The Airport is in the perfect situation to create their own project and mitigate it. He said you would not want to exempt anyone from the equation because you would be opening yourself up for a lawsuit by exempting one person and not someone else.

Mr. Carroll brought up the capital improvement plan and said that the Airport is doing a \$250,000 drainage study, so they could make sure that the services include exactly what they want and not just to do this project and that project.

Mr. Falls stated that if they accept the premise that they need revenue to fund the stormwater capital program regardless of what kind of projects are in it, they were tasked by former City Councils with bringing back an option to do that. The stormwater utility was the most equitable option because every property owner pays rather than if you did it by ad valorem increases. He said that is how they got there. They have a revenue problem to fund their stormwater needs even without including the water quality projects that will be needed once they get the final version of the Master Plan completed in June.

Mr. Carroll has not heard anyone saying they don't want to fund the stormwater utility. What he has heard is that they want to fund the stormwater utility, but they want it to be equitable.

Vice Mayor Moore agreed with that. They want it to be equitable and give people more options on what they can do on their property and more innovative solutions instead of making people pave stuff and then charge them more in the future because of the paving that they were made to do.

Mr. Mitts said that he doesn't need a decision on this today. If there are some things that they want to modify he needs to know that and what "target" do they want to hit. He said the longer they wait there are not as many options to choose from.

Mr. Neville asked if they were to follow the Alexandria model, would it take another full time staff person to manage it.

Mrs. Zudans said they really don't know based on the size of their community how many people will need to be hired to manage it.

Vice Mayor Moore said when they are talking about Alexandria, Virginia, their GRU's are much more expensive than ours are. The square footage that they are basing it on is much smaller than ours. She said Alexandria is making more than they are because they are charging more to start with and then their mitigating a ton. She felt it would be fair if there was a base rate that everyone paid and then above and beyond that some mitigation credit that would apply.

Mayor Cotugno used the example of Alexandria to show what can be done and stimulate the thought process on how people are mitigating. The White paper on airports was done based on the fact that the largest employer in the whole County is the Airport and the largest employer happens to build airplanes and he thinks mitigate based on how it pertains to roads can be taken into consideration when they do their mitigation. He went over why the City Council put the terms in the Ordinance that every year they will be voting on this because they wanted input and more direct control of this.

Mrs. Zudans would lean towards suspending it for a year and using the ARC money and capital infrastructure monies that they currently have available. Then when they have the Master Plan done in June they will have a better picture of what their costs are going to be on some of these projects, especially the very expensive projects. She said using the money that has to be used would be a good way to go for this budget year.

Vice Mayor Moore did not want to suspend it. She would like them to stick with the \$7.00 charge and use the rest of the ARP money, but with a target by next year they have some sort of plan in place because this is the last year they will have the ARP money.

Mr. Falls clarified if they want to have a mitigation credit then whatever they credit if their goal is to raise a set amount of money to meet their Five-Year Capital Plan that credit is going to have to be offset as an increase to someone else. He wanted that understood. He said it is just math.

Mayor Cotugno said it is hard to determine what they need to collect if they don't know what they need to collect it for.

Ms. Lawson commented that as they are talking about mitigation credits and feel that there will be a certain amount of people that will take advantage of them there is such a wide range of possibilities for them to do that without spending more money than they need because the consultants will need to do this for them. They need some basic direction or parameters of what they are looking at. Is it residential properties or commercial only, otherwise they will have to come up with a study that takes them from point A to point B. Staff would like to get a feeling from Council as to what they would like to see.

Mayor Cotugno said their intention is to make this as fair as possible based on input that has happened and based on what information they will be getting from their consultant.

Ms. Lawson said their consultant was not at today's meeting, but she can say that she has heard them say over the years when it comes to assessments is that it is in the eye of the beholder so Council as the policymakers are the ones that receive feedback from the public and can tell staff what they think would be equitable and what they are looking for and who they want to incentivize and what kinds of things they want to incentivize to make this better. They would need to include administrative cost in this utility if they were looking for mitigation style credits that involve more and more staff time. She would appreciate any basic direction from Council.

Mr. Carroll stated so they know they are going to get the stormwater report in June and questioned when they are scheduled to approve the stormwater plan for the Airport.

Mr. Falls explained the stormwater plan for the Airport is to develop a master stormwater system and that the bulk of stormwater from the Airport could be developed into a centralized facility. So all the burden of stormwater would not fall heavily on each individual property. He said they just received the grant for this so it could take a couple more years before they see the stormwater plan. He said the Airport is just starting to look at this.

Mr. Mitts closed his presentation by saying that stormwater is huge.

Mayor Cotugno asked for public comments.

Mr. Keith Drewett commented that he sits on the Clean Water Coalition of Indian River County (CWC) and they support any funding to keep this moving forward. He felt that they made great progress at today's meeting and they need to keep going. He pointed out that there are funds available.

Mrs. Jean Catchpole, Representative from the Indian River Neighborhood Association (IRNA), commented that they were missing out on so many opportunities for grant money. She said that stormwater is a major problem that needs to be addressed.

Mr. Mike Johansen, Chairman of the IRNA, commented that this is a very important subject and he appreciated them taking the time to thoroughly go through this. He said that Captain Paul Fafeita is moving out of Florida and going to Maine. He said that Captain Fafeita can't make enough money in Florida anymore because there is not enough fish in the water to catch. He said they all know what the major offenders are to the Lagoon. He encouraged staff to keep looking for grants and to continue to pursue answers to this problem.

Mrs. Brooke Malone asked if there are any other business owners at today's meeting. There was not. She asked if the money currently being gathered is for stormwater maintenance. Mr. Falls said yes they are maintenance projects. Mrs. Malone asked if they could get grant money for maintenance projects. Mr. Falls said they could not. Mrs. Malone asked the City to start making changes to their Code. She invited each of the Councilmembers to Walking Tree and to walk around the surroundings with her so she could show them what changes to the Code need to be made. She is not happy with what she is being told that she needs to do. She is capable of setting "examples" at her business. They are facing asphalt as their only solution and if that comes down to it she said that stinks. She said there are solutions that could be done without laying down asphalt and things need to be done on her property, but she can't get it passed by the County. She reiterated that she does not want to lay down asphalt. She said that her site plan approval comes from the City and the Code is administered by the County. Again, she invited the Councilmembers to meet with her on the property so she could show them what it looks like.

Mr. Falls explained that all site plan approvals are regulated through the City of Vero Beach. The only thing administered through Indian River County are building permits.

Mrs. Judy Orcutt, President of CWC, commented that stormwater retention has changed through the years. She said there is still so much they need to do to help the Lagoon and it is going to take money.

Mr. Harry Howle commented that the stormwater utility is nothing more than a taxing agency and he would like to see it abolished. He said 18,000 people (population of the City of Vero Beach) are not going to fix the Lagoon. It is a good idea to take some time to study this more.

Mayor Cotugno asked the City Attorney if it was possible to make motions at this meeting.

Mr. John Turner, City Attorney, explained that the meeting has been noticed as a Special Call meeting so they have the authority to make motions and take action.

Mrs. Zudans made a motion to suspend it to zero using the ARP money and funding available for this year with a direction to get the Master Plan and have a better idea of using the stormwater utility tax for next year's budget and have it be zero this year.

Vice Mayor Moore commented that if they make it zero then they are eliminating the stormwater utility.

Mrs. Zudans said they are putting it on the bookshelf and then looking at it next year.

Ms. Lawson said that the Ordinance will stay in place that enables this and the way the Ordinance works is once they do the final assessment Ordinance like what was done last year each year there is just one (1) annual assessment Resolution passed and Council can set the rate at whatever amount they choose.

Mayor Cotugno said that the City does not send out the bills.

Ms. Lawson said that is correct. It is part of the property tax bill. The City has an agreement with the Tax Collector that every year what they must do once the consultant has redefined the roll for that year they send it to the Property Appraiser to be incorporated into the tax bills.

Mr. Falls said if they go to zero for the rate and they don't raise any funds could the ARC dollars be used to pay for the administrative costs and the tax collector's cost. Ms. Lawson answered yes.

Vice Mayor Moore asked if there was enough ARP money to fund what was anticipated.

Ms. Lawson said that there is close to over a million dollars so it is pretty close.

The Clerk read back the motion.

Vice Mayor Moore did not see anything about mitigation credit in the motion.

Mrs. Zudans commented that mitigation credits needed to be a part of the deeper conversation.

Mr. Neville stated that he didn't think they were having a budget meeting and that this was a policy meeting. They are discussing the policy of mitigation, the policy of implementation and he thinks when they make a budget decision they need to have all the facts. He said accelerating a decision like this makes no sense to him. He felt the decision needs to be made at their budget meeting and they may in fact might want to have an increase in fees.

Mrs. Zudans commented that staff is looking for direction and they are starting budget conversations and staff wants to know the "flavor" of the Council.

Ms. Lawson said that is correct, however they cannot make a final budget decision at this time. They would like some direction on what the budget they bring to them should look like. She said they would need to adopt the budget in September through the normal process. This would be the opening budget that staff brings to Council if they vote to do this.

Mr. Neville said then the motion serves no purpose.

Ms. Lawson explained that it helps staff understand what they should bring to the budget workshops. The other point is that if they would like to spend the next year talking more in depth about mitigation credits and how those might apply in the future then the budget will have to include consulting work to do that.

Mr. Neville stated they are making a decision to zero out the utility for the coming year so is that what staff will bring to Council at budget time, notwithstanding what might be required for the capital plan.

Ms. Lawson explained that staff will bring to Council a proposed budget and they will also clarify with their consultant the last possible chance schedule if they were to decide they wanted to impose some type of stormwater assessment. She said it is not the same process as last year, but she will keep Council informed as to the cutoff date for notifications.

Mr. Turner thought it might be a little clearer if they broke the motion down into two separate motions. He said the first motion would be for direction and the second motion would be for the ARP money.

Mrs. Zudans amended her motion to suspend to zero for this budget season.

Ms. Lawson said that no matter what they (City Council) do today it is purely direction. Staff will need to bring back a budget to them that includes what they are asking for and final decisions will need to be made.

The amended motion failed for lack of a second.

The City Clerk read the original motion to be voted on.

Vice Mayor Moore seconded the original motion.

Mr. Neville liked the idea of separating the motion into two parts. He was all in favor of using the ARC funds, however he is not in agreement of not spending any money.

Mrs. Zudans said to keep in mind that they can discuss at budget time increasing that starting at zero.

Mr. Carroll commented that once you have a fee in place and people see it on their tax bill it is much easier to maintain that fee going forward versus taking it off for a year and putting it on the next year. It sometimes causes undue problems and questions. It would be more palatable to him to maintain what happened last year.

Vice Mayor Moore withdrew her second to the motion.

The motion died for lack of second.

Mr. Carroll made a motion to maintain the current funding mechanism for the stormwater utility going forward into the next year and incorporate the ARP funds necessary to fund the balance of the money needed for the stormwater utility. Vice Mayor Moore seconded the motion. The Clerk polled the Council on the motion and it passed 4-1 with Mr. Neville voting yes, Mr. Carroll yes, Mrs. Zudans no, Vice Mayor Moore yes, and Mayor Cotugno yes.

Mayor Cotugno made a motion that City Council gives direction to City staff to draw up the stormwater utility operating process as it goes forward including ways to administer mitigation credits and any other operating procedures and/or administration necessary to maintain the stormwater utility.

Mr. Mitts stated that what he was hearing was that Council would like more consideration given to mitigation credits in their current 100-year storm policy. He has heard the comments and feels that he has a general idea of what they are looking for. His preference would be to go back to their consultant and have a large menu of options and bring it back to Council and then cut things from those options rather than come back with a narrow scope. He said that this is a policy decision because there is a cost to it.

A motion was not needed. There was consensus of Council to proceed in that matter.

Mayor Cotugno withdrew his motion.

B) Establishing Credits for Reducing Stormwater Fees – Mayor John Cotugno

This item was heard in conjunction with the discussion that took place on the Stormwater Utility (item A).

C) Presentation by Ferguson Waterworks for Indian River Neighborhood Association – Mr. Chris Bogdan

Mr. Chris Bogdan gave a Power Point presentation (attached to the original minutes).

At this time, Council took a 10-minute break and the meeting reconvened at 11:26 a.m.

D) STEP System

Mr. Rob Bolton, Water and Sewer Director, gave a Power Point presentation on the STEP System (attached to the original minutes). Question and answers took place during the presentation.

Mr. Bolton brought up the Atlantic Basin Incentive option. He said CWC is in partnership with Indian River Land Trust (IRLT) and the Economic Opportunities Council of Indian River County who would like to contribute funds to offset the cost of the STEP System equipment installation. The City has not sent letters to this basin as that would trigger the end of the incentive program. Staff recommends modifying the program to allow for a \$1,100 credit for main extensions and financing the equipment over 10-years at a rate established by City Council at a later date.

Mr. Carroll brought up the rate schedule that was passed in 2022 and how the rates will go up every year. He said that is a real cost.

The Council discussed new home purchases and how to handle the STEP System and mandatory connections.

Mr. Neville felt if brand new homeowners had an incentive to hookup to the STEP System they might do it.

Mr. Bolton said that there would need to be some negotiating between the buyer and seller of the home in order to do the mandatory connections, which is what he has been telling different real estate agents.

Ms. Lawson said it is a part of the buyer of the home to do his or her due diligence before purchasing the home. She questioned how they would determine who the new homeowner is. She said the only thing they could do was contact the Property Appraiser, but they always take the chance of someone putting the deed in a different name.

Mr. Bolton agreed making the hookup to the STEP System mandatory would not be easy, but it could be done. They would need to come up with a timeframe on when it needs to occur.

Vice Mayor Moore liked the idea of making it mandatory.

Mrs. Zudans wanted to have more information and then explore what it looks like.

Mr. Carroll was amendable to making it mandatory during the sale of the home, but they would have to come up with a process to get everyone on board.

Mr. Bolton said that he could sit down with some of the real estate companies to see how they think it would work and talk to them about how he thinks it will work.

Mr. Carroll said they should make a threshold for the amount.

Mayor Cotugno suggested that Mr. Bolton meet with the Realtor's Association. He noted that they have appointed liaisons to attend Council meetings and work with the Council on different issues.

Mr. Bolton agreed with going directly to the Realtor's Association to begin discussions and get direction from them.

Mr. Neville asked what cities have made it mandatory.

Mr. Bolton reported that Martin County has made it mandatory. Also, St. Johns Water Management will not allow anyone to request grant funding unless they are hooked up. He said their rules and regulations do not apply to the unincorporated areas and he is hoping that the County develops a mandatory program that is more strenuous than what the City has so they can incorporate their program into what the City has.

Mr. Neville asked if Stuart is mandatory since they reside in Martin County.

Mr. Bolton said no, that the City of Stuart has their own program.

Mr. Neville asked how successful has Martin County's mandatory program been. Mr. Bolton did not know.

Mr. Bolton commented that what he was hearing was that he should work with the realtors in discussing what would work with them concerning mandatory connections and to also work with the County on what they are doing.

Mr. Bolton said he would like to offer the STEP System to their unincorporated areas in the County. He said when they first started the program they did not have the opportunity to get grant funding, but they do now. He said they need to get the incentives out because of the requirements in their franchise agreement and have the mandatory pump outs in the City in place before expanding out into the County.

Mrs. Zudans asked if they currently have a mandatory pump out.

Mr. Bolton said that they do within the City limits. He said those that are not connected to the STEP System have to have a pump out done every five (5) years. There is a form that must be filled out and returned to the City and is being tracked through their GIS Department.

Mrs. Zudans asked if there was a place on the form that shows the age of the system. She said maybe they should look at changing every five (5) years to every three (3) years.

Mr. Bolton explained it is mandatory based on nuisance for every five (5) years. The drainfield could fail and be what is causing the problem. He said it might not be an environmental problem, but it is a health issue.

Mrs. Zudans questioned the only nuisance mandatory pump out.

Mr. Bolton explained it is a mandatory pump out every five (5) years as long as the septic tank does not have a crack in it. He said if the drainfield is a failure it doesn't mean someone has to hookup to the STEP System.

Mrs. Zudans asked if there is a way for a septic tank to be okay even though the drainfield has failed. Mr. Bolton explained that is the way it normally happens.

Mr. Neville thought that a drainfield failure would require someone to hookup to the STEP System. Mr. Bolton explained it would only be required if there was a health hazard. He said homeowners can live with a drainfield failure for a long time.

Mr. Bolton said that he would talk to realtors, work with the County and get something together between now and six (6) months and then come back to the Council.

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Keith Drewett was happy that Mr. Bolton gave an overview of the Atlantic Basin Incentive, which is what the CWC has been working on. He said they are looking at starting this pilot program for low income people. They will be coming before Council in the next month or so to get their approval.

Mrs. Jean Catchpole commented with the drainfields they are not taking in any nutrients. They are talking about when the drainfield fails what goes in the Lagoon. She said people currently on septic don't pay any sewage costs and are not contributing to the new Wastewater Treatment Plant.

Mrs. Robyn Berry thanked Council for using the Heritage Center today for their meeting and invited them to come back anytime.

3. ADJOURNMENT

Today's meeting adjourned at 12:21 p.m.

/tb